BCTt Beginners Computational Thinking Test





María Zapata Cáceres

Computer Science Department *@maria__z_c*

Estefanía Martín Barroso

Computer Science Department @EstefaniaURJC URJC

URJC

Marcos Román González

Faculty of Education @elpsycomago UNED

Computational Thinking (CT)



Shute, V. J., Sun, C., & Asbell-Clarke, J. (2017a). Demystifying computational thinking. *Educational Research Review,* 22, 142-158 doi://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.09.003

Computational Thinking (CT)



CONSENSUS

Should be taught at schools

Programming exposes students to CT

Computational Thinking (CT)



* K. Brennan, M. Resnick and MIT Media Lab, "New frameworks for studying and assessing the development of computational thinking," American Educational Research Association Meeting, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2012.

Questions





Questions



Assessment



Computational Thinking Test (CTt)

Computational Thinking Test (CTt) *

Aimed at 10 to 16 years old Stand-alone assessment instrument

Reliability and criterion validity, psychometric approach

Aligned with the international standards

3D Framework

		C C E C F C F C F C F C C F C F C F C C F C C F C F C F C F C F C F C F C F C
	CT concepts	
_	CT practices	
Ork CT perspectives		
	CT perspectives	

* M. Román-González, J. Pérez-González and C. Jiménez-Fernández, "Which cognitive abilities underlie computational thinking? Criterion validity of the Computational Thinking Test," Computers in Human Behavior, vol. 72, pp. 678-691, 2017.

Beginners Computational Thinking Test (BCTt)

Based on CTt

Beginners Computational Thinking Test (BCTt)

Stand-alone assessment instrument

5 to 10 years old

Form / content adaptation

Substantial

improvements

v.1	BC1	Tt v	.1 C)esi	gn
					0

25 items long	40 minutes	
3 alternative responses		3D Framework computational
5 diternative responses		concepts

Computational concepts in BCTt							
Test		Loops		Conditiona	Conditionals		
items	1. Sequences	2. Simple	3. Nested	4. IF-	5. lf-then-	6. While	
1 - 6							
7 - 11							
12 - 18							
19 - 20							
21 - 22							
2 <mark>3 - 25</mark>							



^{v.1} BCTt v.1 Design



Maze B

Maze A

1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9

































V.1 BCTt Expert Judgement procedure & results



BCTt Expert Judgement procedure & results



BCTt item difficulty perceived by experts



BCTt computational concept relevance to measure CT, perceived by experts



22

^{v.1} BCTt Expert Judgement procedure & results

Comments / suggestions

"transitions are easily associated to arrows in the answers" "the allowed paths are clear with transitions, because it excludes diagonal movements"

"In the design without transitions, doubts are generated about when a character reaches another (either when it reaches the previous square or when it reaches the other character square?)".

"the test is TOO HARD" "It is not clear if two chicks can move together after meeting"

23

^{v.2} BCTt v.2 Design



v.2 BCTt v.2 Design

Colour blindness adaptation



v.2 BCTt Administration: participants and procedure

299 Primary School St	udents	Action protocol			
5 to 12 years ol	d	Tes	st printed in	paper form	
BCTt			transi	tions	
BCTt variation	1		No-tran	sitions	
School	Educationa	l stage	Grades	Students ages	
Colegio Público Carlos Ruiz	1st		1st and 2nd	5 - 8	
	Qued			7 10	

Colegio Los Escolapios2nd3rd and 4th7 -10CEIP León Felipe3rd5th and 6th9 -12

v.2 BCTt Administration: participants and procedure

Educational stage	Grade	Identifier	BCTt	BCTt variation	
1 ct	1	A	A1: n=52		
ISt	2	В	B1: n=18	B2: n=18	
and	4	C	C1: n=54		
2110	4	D	D1: n=28	D2: n=28	
2rd	5	E	E1: n=51		
510	6	F	F1: n=25	F2: n=25	
B2, D2, F2	A1, B1, C1,	D1, E1, F1		D1	
BCTt variation	BCTt			BCTt	
		Procedur	e		
Tim	e 1		Time 2:5	weeks later	

v.2 BCTt Administration: results

Transitions



Significant difference in test scores (p=0.005< 0.01) in lower grades

		Sam	ple	Entire sample	A1	B1	C1	E1	F1
Descri	ptive	Gra	de	1-6	1	2	4	5	6
statis	tics	N	l	200	52	18	54	51	25
		Mean		19.92	16.52	16.78	21.57	21.84	21.72
	Median		20.00	16.00	18.00	23.00	23.00	22.00	
	Std. Deviation		3.79	3.31	2.49	3.044	2.61	2.62	
r	Variance		14.36	10.96	6.183	9.268	6.815	6.88	
	Minimum		8.00	8.00	11.00	14.00	13.00	15.00	
	Maximum		25.00	24.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
			25	17.00	14.00	15.75	19.00	20.00	19.50
Pe	Percer	Percentiles	50	20.00	16.00	18.00	23.00	23.00	22.00
			75	23.00	19.00	18.00	24.00	24.00	24.00

28

v.2 BCTt Administration: results

Item analysis





Computational concept by grade



Item difficulty index for each BCTt item



v.2 BCTt Administration: results

Sa	mple	Reliability Statistics			Item Statistics		
			Cr. 's Alpha				
			Based on				
	N of	Cronbach	Stand.				
N	Items	's Alpha	Items	Mean	Min.	Max.	Variance
200	25	0.824	0.829	0.807	0.576	0.976	0.021

Subsamples			Reliabilit	ty Statistics	Item Statistics		
Ed. stage	Grade	Id.	n	Cronbach's Alpha	Cr. 's Alpha Based on Stand. Items	Mean	Variance
1st	1	A1	52	0.833	0.838	0.742	0.041
1st	2	B1	18	0.793	0.801	0.630	0.042
2nd	4	C1	54	0.771	0.735	0.837	0.022
3rd	5	E1	51	0.660	0.683	0.863	0.012
3rd	6	F1	25	0.657	0.648	0.844	0.015

Task and re-task method (D1 subsample) Non-parametric Spearman's test

very strong significant correlation (rs=0.93; p<0.01).

Conclusions

BCTt is adequate for the assessment of CT in Primary School

 Transitions between maze squares are a relevant improvement for young students

✓ BCTt seems to be aimed at 1st to 4th grades (5 to 10 years old)

Reliability is high and higher in younger students

Recommended to use in parallel with other tools -> system of assessments

3D Framework	CT concepts	
	CT practices	
	CT perspectives	

Conclusions

It could be used as a pre-test / post-test instrument



Blue Ant Code (Android and IOs)

Conclusions

✓ BCTt lower limit

Other countries: Portugal, Chezch republic, Germany, France, ...

Populations

✓ BCTt new version → Cornell University NY



Thank you very much for your time!



@maria__z_c

